

Remarks

Claims 1-10 and 16-18 have been allowed.

Claim 16 has been amended to correct an informality.

Rejections

The Examiner rejected claims 11-15 under sections 102(e) citing Chour, et al. 6565718.

Applicants have cancelled claims 11-15 leaving only allowed claims in the application.

Objections

The Examiner objected to the drawings because of inconsistencies between the specification and Figure 1 in regard to the referenced numbers for the leads. The amendment to page one of the specification corrects this problem by accurately referencing the leads as being 14A, 14B, 15A, 15B.

The second objection to the drawings also mentions that reference number 41 was not used in the specification. The amendment to page five of the specification corrects this problem by accurately referencing 41 as the surface of the protective layer.

The abstract was also objected to for being too long, so a new abstract has been submitted.

Conclusions

The applicants have addressed each of the objects and rejections and


serial no.

9 of 10

HSJ9200US1

therefore, believe that the application is condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "G. Marlin Knight". The signature is written in a cursive, somewhat stylized script.

G. Marlin Knight (Reg. Number 33,409)

(customer no. 000041482)

PO Box 1320

Pioneer, CA 95666

209-295-1982

serial no.

10 of 10

HSJ9200US1